



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/665,950	09/21/2000	Yoshiyuki Sogawa	32405W041	9479
75	90 05/10/2005		EXAM	INER
Smith Gambrell Russell			SELBY, GEVELL V	
1850 M Street N	1 W			
Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2615	
			DATEMAN ED OCHODON	_

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/665,950	SOGAWA ET AL.				
omee Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Gevell Selby	2615				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	ovember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,32 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10, 32, 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	mainaideda.a.2511.0.0.5.440(a)	(4) (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		- I was rational ctags				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	' ' ' '	d.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/665,950

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed 11/15/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 4-8 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Masaaki, JP 08-276787.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regard to claims 1-3. The applicants submit the prior art does not disclose the following limitations of the claimed invention:
- 1) "to judge if said shooting direction is within a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation" as claimed in claim 1;
- 2) "comparing a position of a reference pattern and a position of judgment pattern on a display" as claimed in claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Examiner's Reply:

Re claim 1) the Masaaki reference discloses comparing a position of a reference pattern on a position of judgment pattern on a display to judge if said shooting direction is within a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation. In figure 16a the photographed image displayed by the display is of a vehicle on a road and overlaid is judgment pattern for the road (62). In figure 16A the examiner can judge that the shooting direction is in the range of the of the judgment pattern and in figure 16B the examiner can judge that the shooting direction is outside the range of the judgment pattern (see Para. 7 and 57). The Masaaki reference discloses

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/665,950

Art Unit: 2615

the image processing means adjusts or moves the range (see Para. 47) wherein fine adjustment is made by the transformation of translating the image to the correct location (see Para. 42-47).

Page 3

3. The examiner appreciates the applicant conducting a telephone interview to discuss the claim language of claim 1, 4, and 32; however, an examiner's amendment was not necessary since that claims were not found to be allowable and support for the limitation of "a finely adjustable range which is adjust by an image transformation was found in the specification on page 2, lines 14-24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masaaki, JP 08-276787.

In regard to claim 1, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus by comparing a position of a reference pattern (54a) and a position of judgment pattern (62) on a displayed photographed image by an examiner (see figure 16A and B and Para. 7 and 57) so as to judge if said shooting direction is within a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation (see Para. 42-48), comprising:

obtaining a photographed image by photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of said camera apparatus with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart (see paragraph 40);

setting a judgment pattern at a specific position on said photographed image (see paragraph 42);

displaying said photographed image with said judgment pattern on a display device (see paragraph 22: The display means outputs all the images from processed by the processor); and

comparing a position of said reference pattern and a position of said judgment pattern on said displayed photographed image (see paragraph 42);

wherein said judgment pattern is within a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation (The image processing means adjusts or moves the range (see Para. 47) wherein fine adjustment is made by the transformation of translating the image to the correct location (see Para. 42-47)).

In regard to claim 2, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said judgment pattern having at least one judgment reference line extending in a horizontal direction and at least one judgment reference line extending in a vertical direction is set on said photographed image in said setting step (see figure 9, element 62).

In regard to claim 3, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 1, wherein

said photographed image is displayed on a navigation display provided in a navigation device in said displaying step (see figure 1, element 58 and paragraph 22).

In regard to claim 4, Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus attached to a body of a vehicle is within finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation (see Para. 42-48) comprising:

obtaining a photographed image by photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of the vehicle with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart (see figures 4-6 and Para. 35-38);

determining a position of said reference pattern on said photographed image (see Para. 41); and

judging on compliance or non-compliance of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus based on a relationship between the position of said reference pattern determined and a proper range defining a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation for the shooting direction of said camera apparatus (The image processing means judges the compliance of the shooting direction from the calculated gap between the images and adjusts or moves the range (see Para. 47) wherein fine adjustment is made by the transformation of translating the image to the correct location (see Para. 42-47)).

In regard to claim 7, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 1, wherein

said reference pattern is at least one of a crisscross pattern and a rectangular pattern. (See figure 6, element 10 and paragraph 41).

In regard to claim 8, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 4, comprising:

notifying an examiner of information concerning adjustment of the mounting of said camera apparatus according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said proper range (see Para. 22: The display means 58 displays the processing result to the examiner).

In regard to claim 32, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus to judge whether or not the camera apparatus can be positioned within a finely adjustable range wherein fine adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation with respect to the deviation of a camera position, comprising:

obtaining a photographed image by photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of said camera apparatus with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart (see figure 4-6);

setting a judgment pattern at a specific position on said photographed image (see figure 9a, element 62);

displaying said photographed image with said judgment pattern on a display device (see figure 9a and paragraph 57: the images are displayed on display device 58); and

examining compliance or non-compliance of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus by comparing a position of said reference pattern and a position of said judgment pattern on said displayed photographed image (This step is inherently performed by the examiner in the Masaaki reference when he/she compares the positions of the reference pattern and the judgment pattern).

In regard to claim 33, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said judgment pattern having at least one judgment reference line extending in a horizontal direction and at least one judgment reference line extending in a vertical direction is set on said photographed image in said setting step (see figure 9, element 62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaaki, JP 08-276787, as applied to claim 4, in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163.

In regard to claim 5, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 4. The Masaaki reference lacks wherein said determining step includes:

evaluating a correlation of each of specific regions in said photographed image with a previously prepared specific brightness characteristics pattern; and specifying a position of one of said regions having the greatest correlation as the position of said reference pattern; wherein said brightness characteristics pattern has the same brightness characteristics as said reference pattern shown on said photographed image.

Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163, discloses a test method for a video camera system wherein said determining step includes:

evaluating a correlation of each of specific regions in said photographed image with a previously prepared specific brightness characteristics pattern (see column 2, lines 35-46); and

specifying a position of one of said regions having the greatest correlation as the position of said reference pattern; wherein said brightness characteristics pattern has the same brightness characteristics as said reference pattern shown on said photographed image (see column 2, lines 47-58).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention, to modify Masaaki, JP 08-276787, in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163, to have the determining step of claim 5, in order to stably and effectively pickup a quantity of features of the object in the visual field (see column 2, lines 17-19) and determine the section of the image with the highest similarity to reference (see column 2, lines 50-52) as taught by Tomitaka.

In regard to claim 6, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163, as described in regard to claim 5 above, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 5, wherein said determining step includes evaluating the correlation with said brightness characteristics pattern by searching through a specific search range (see Tomitaka: figure 7, elements FMX1 to FMX3 and column 2, lines 33-35) within said photographed image (PIC), wherein a setting position of said search range is determined based on the position of said reference pattern shown on said photographed image under conditions where said camera apparatus is properly mounted (see Tomitaka: column 6, lines 35-57), and an area of said search range is set in consideration of a deviation of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus (see Tomitaka: column 3, lines 20-29).

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaaki, JP 08-276787, in view of Hamaguri, US 6,462,777.

In regard to claim 10, Masaaki, JP 08-276787, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1.

The Masaaki reference does not disclose a stereo camera.

Hamaguri US 6,462,777, discloses a display testing apparatus wherein said camera apparatus is a stereo camera apparatus having a pair of cameras, and said photographed image is an image photographed by one of said cameras (see column 5, lines 59-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Masaaki, JP 08-276787, in view of

Application/Control Number: 09/665,950 Page 10

Art Unit: 2615

Hamaguri, US 6,462,777, to have a stereo camera apparatus as in claim 10 in order to enable calibration at a high speed using the convergence of the pair of cameras.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 9 is allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose selecting a mounting member having a shape for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern from a plurality of previously prepared mounting members having different shapes and notifying the examiner of the selected mounting member as claimed in claim 9.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Murakami et al., US 6,785, 403, discloses a similar test method as the applicant and was reviewed by the examiner for an case of double patenting with claim 9, since it is commonly assigned. The examiner found the Murakami reference lacked the combination of limitations found in the claim, specifically the limitation of: selecting a mounting member having a shape for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern from a plurality of previously prepared mounting members having different shapes.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 571-272-7369. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/665,950

Art Unit: 2615

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gvs

TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER